



Manufacturing Under Attack:

The Software Supply Chain Risk Report

Ransomware, open-source vulnerabilities, and unvetted AI models are exploiting gaps that physical security can't close. Here's what the data says, and what DevOps and AppSec teams are up against.

Key Trends & Statistics

27.7%

of cyberattacks in 2025 targeted manufacturing

\$260K

average unplanned downtime cost per hour

61%

YoY ransomware surge. 520 → 838 incidents in 2025

30%

of all breaches involve third-party software providers

Top 5 R!isks

DevOps & AppSec in Manufacturing

The convergence of IT and OT has fundamentally changed the manufacturing attack surface. PLCs, SCADA systems, and industrial controllers now run software built with the same open-source pipelines as any enterprise application, and inherit all the same vulnerabilities. Here are the five challenges creating the most exposure.

01 CHALLENGE

Your Last Release Could Have a Backdoor in It

Growth through acquisition means fragmented artifact stores, no shared security baseline, and SBOMs that take days to assemble manually, if they're assembled at all.

⚠️ The real risk: Build drift between dev and production means what you scanned is often not what shipped to the factory floor.

21% of manufacturers have reached OT security maturity level 4; more than 70% are stuck in the middle levels. (Fortinet)

Regulated by:

- [SBOM compliance]: Required for federal contracts
- [IEC 62443]: OT/ICS cybersecurity standard
- [EO 14028]: US federal software supply chain mandate
- [EU CRA]: SBOM & vulnerability handling mandate for EU digital products

02 CHALLENGE

Alert Fatigue Is a Vulnerability

A single embedded firmware build can surface thousands of CVE findings. Without context on reachability, teams either chase false alarms or stop triaging entirely.

⚠️ The real risk: A vulnerability that misses a firmware release window may not be remediated until the next major cycle, already deployed to thousands of connected OT devices.

90% of manufacturing financial losses from cyber incidents are driven by ransomware, yet ransomware represents only 12% of claims. Alert fatigue delays the response. (Resilience)

Frameworks & Controls:

- [NIST SP 800-82]: OT/ICS security controls, often unmet in legacy environments
- [CVE Triage]: Unpatched vulnerabilities drove 13% of manufacturing cyber losses

03 CHALLENGE

Your Codebase Trusts Strangers

Engineering teams consume Maven, npm, PyPI, Docker Hub, and Conan packages at scale. Typosquatting, dependency confusion, and malicious install scripts are active and increasing.

⚠️ The real risk: A compromised package in a PLC firmware build isn't a data breach, it's a backdoor with direct access to operational technology systems.

2x the supply chain attacks against manufacturing in 2025, from 154 to 297 incidents. (Check Point Research)

Exposure Areas:

- [ICS/SCADA risk]: A poisoned package can reach the factory floor
- [OSS governance]: Most manufacturers don't vet open source at ingest

04 CHALLENGE

Signed at Build. Unverified at the Edge

Pushing firmware updates to remote facilities and air-gapped OT networks without cryptographic verification leaves no way to confirm that what arrived matches what was sent.

⚠️ The real risk: A sensor deployed in 2019 may still operate in 2031, accumulating unpatched CVEs, while teams fear the operational disruption of pushing an update.

76% of organizations needed more than 100 days to fully recover from a cyber attack. (IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report)

Verification Gaps:

- [OT networks]: Signed builds, unsigned deployment paths
- [Air-gapped environments]: No return channel to confirm delivery

05 CHALLENGE

Unvetted AI Is Running Your Production Line

Manufacturers adopted AI early, computer vision, predictive maintenance, autonomous material handling, but ML models reach production through informal channels: no SBOM, no scan, no approval record.

⚠️ The real risk: An unvetted model on a production line is a privileged, trusted process with direct access to manufacturing data and OT-adjacent networks. It's the open-source governance gap from a decade ago, repeating on a shorter runway.

100 malicious ML models were found on Hugging Face, some with reverse shells and remote backdoors. (JFrog Security Research)

Governance Gaps:

- [AI/ML governance]: No approval process for production models
- [AI-BOM]: No visibility into training data, weights, dependencies
- [Model provenance]: No chain of custody from repo to floor



“Manufacturing now accounts for more than one in four cyberattacks globally, and ransomware alone drives 90% of financial losses in the sector. The gap between physical and software security has become the industry's most expensive blind spot.”

Leading Manufacturers Have Closed the Gap

The challenges above are not theoretical. Organizations have faced them, made a strategic decision to address them systematically, and documented measurable results. If you're ready to close the governance gap and secure your software supply chain, learn more [here](#).

